Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of caecum presenting as recurrent intussusception: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Background: Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor is an uncommon tumor. It commonly affects the lung but it can be found anywhere in the body.

Case Report: We are reporting a case of caecal mass presenting as recurrent intussusception. Histopathology and immunohistochemistry confirmed it to be an inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of caecum. Immunohistochemistry of tumor cells were positive for vimentin, smooth muscle actin, MIB 1, and CD 45 in lymphoid cells in the stroma of the tumor.

Conclusion: Recurrent intussusception should be kept as one of the differential diagnosis in patient with caecal mass with recurrent abdominal pain. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of the caecum is unusual in paediatric patients and may present as recurrent intussusception.
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INTRODUCTION

Intussusception is telescoping of proximal bowel in distal. It was first reported by the Scottish surgeon James Hunter in 1793. [1] Lymphoid hyperplasia of Peyer patches, Meckel's diverticulum, viral infections, enteric duplication, cystic fibrosis, post-operative, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, Peutz-Jegher syndrome, familial polyposis and nephritic syndrome are the causes of intussusception in paediatric patients. [1-4]
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Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor is the rare cause of recurrent intussusception. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor is a mesenchymal spindle cell tumor. It is composed of myofibroblastic spindle cells (elongated and fusiform) which are infiltrated by plasma cells, lymphocytes, macrophages, and eosinophils. Rearrangement of the anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) locus on chromosome 2p23 present in fifty percent cases of inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor. [5,6] It shows a slight female preponderance. Most of the cases are diagnosed in the first two decades of life. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of gastrointestinal tract may present with pain abdomen, obstruction, haemorrhage, intussusception etc. [7-9].

CASE REPORT

A 2-year-old girl presented with recurrent abdominal pain for one and a half years. There was no history of nausea, vomiting, fever, diarrhoea, blood in stool and burning micturition. She had a history of pneumatic reduction of intussusception at the age of 9 months for pain abdomen. She got relief after pneumatic reduction, but after six months of reduction, she had complained of recurrent pain abdomen. At that time she took analgesic and antispasmodic medication from local pharmacy without any investigation and consultation. She became comfort after taking medication. At the age of two years, she came to our institute with abdominal pain.

Radial pulse was 97 per minute, regular with good volume, respiratory rate was 37 per minute. She was averagely nourished. On inspection, abdomen was flat, no abdominal fullness, no visible lump or visible peristalsis, and no scar mark. Umbilicus was centrally placed. There was no guarding, no rigidity, and no tenderness on palpation. No lump was detected on superficial palpation of abdomen. On deep palpation, lump was felt in the right lower quadrant. Ultrasonography showed target sign. CT scan was not done because of poor socioeconomic condition of patient. All routine blood investigations like complete blood count, serum electrolytes, blood sugar, renal function tests, and liver function tests were within normal limits. Viral markers were negative. Due to history of recurrent abdominal pain and on the basis of ultrasonography finding, we planned exploration. Before exploration full informed and written consent was taken from parents. And all merits and demerits were explained. Exploration was done by right transverse suprapubic incision. There was no telescoping of bowel but there was a palpable mass in the caecum (2.5 cm x 2.5 cm size, fixed in the wall of caecum) and edema was present at ileocaecal junction, suggesting reduced intussusception and caecal mass as the lead point. We did resection of the caecum (Figure-2) and ileo-ascending anastomosis was done. Oral feeding was started after five days of surgery. The post-operative period was uneventful. There was no complaint of pain abdomen, vomiting, and blood in stool in six months follow up.

Histopathology of resected caecal swelling showed a spindle cell tumor. (Figure-3 & 4) It is very difficult to classify the spindle cell tumor by eosin and hematoxylin staining. Spindle cell tumor was not a definitive diagnosis. The specimen was further evaluated. It was vimentin-positive, (Figure-5) focally positive for smooth muscle actin, (Figure-6) MIB1 positive, and CD 45 positive in lymphoid cells in the stroma of the tumor. Other findings in immunohistochemistry were negative for CD 34, CD 117, desmin, S 100, ALK1, DOG 1, H-Caldesmon. All these findings of immunohistochemistry were suggestive of inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor or inflammatory pseudotumor.

DISCUSSION

Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor is a low-grade or benign (intermediate variety) spindle cell tumor. It does not show distant metastasis, but it may have a tendency of local recurrence. [10] Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor or inflammatory pseudotumor appears like malignant tumor clinically or radiologically. It consists of inflammatory cells like plasma cells, lymphocytes, macrophages, eosinophils, and myofibroblast. The term inflammatory pseudotumor was coined by Umiker and Iverson in 1954 because these tumors clinically and radiologically look like malign-
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The gastrointestinal tract is an uncommon site of inflammatory pseudotumors. The stomach is commonly affected in the gastrointestinal tract. Small bowel, large bowel, and oesophagus are affected in decreasing order. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of gastrointestinal tract presents with pain abdomen, abdominal lump, dysphagia, intestinal obstruction, GIT bleed. In our case, the patient presented with abdominal pain most probably due to recurrent intussusception. She had documented evidence of intussusception twice. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor was the leading point in recurrent intussusception in our case. Mehr RA et al. concluded in their study that timely management of intussusception can improve the outcome and reduce the need of extensive intervention during surgery. To avoid major complication we did exploration on the basis of clinical and radiological findings.

In this modern era of super technology immunohistochemistry (IHC) has the new diagnostic value to reach the specific diagnosis. Immunohistochemistry and cytogenetic study help to classify the spindle cell tumor. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor shows positivity for desmin, vimentin, smooth-muscle-actin, muscle-specific actin, and activin receptor-like kinase 1 (ALK-1). In our case tumor was positive for vimentin, smooth muscle actin, MIB1, and CD 45 in lymphoid cells of the stroma and negative for CD 34, CD 117, desmin, S 100, ALK1, DOG 1, H-Caldesmon.

Complete surgical resection of the tumor is the preferred surgical management. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and corticosteroid therapy may be used to reduce the tumor size. Thalidomide is also a reported drug that is used in the inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor. In specific circumstances when complete excision is not possible than radiotherapy can be used for residual tumor. Though a relatively benign neoplasm, reported recurrence in the gastrointestinal tract is 18% to 40%.

In conclusion, inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of the caecum is unusual in paediatric patients and may present as recurrent intussusception. The inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor should be kept as a differential diagnosis whenever a patient presents with recurrent intussusception due to caecal mass.
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